



A Tale of Two Project Applications







Main Points

- Introduce the two project applications
- Similarities
- Differences
- The moral of the story











Awesome Initiative to protect Marine Species GReen Opportunities for WAste Removal







Similarities

AIMS	GROWAR
7 partners in 5 countries	9 partners in 4 countries
Budget: EUR 3,9 million	Budget: EUR 4,5 million
6 work packages	5 work packages
2 investments	3 investments







Differences







Approach







Results

AIMS	GROWAR
 3 coastal areas applying the solutions tested by the project 	 - 8% carbon reduction by piloting new green technologies that turn waste into clean energy in medium-sized cities (baseline 0)
 Participatory approaches used to increase capacity to improve the habitat of marine life in the NSR by 2035 	 10% reduction in waste produced in cities participating in campaign to raise awareness of waste's impact on the environment by end of project lifetime (baseline 5 million tonnes/year)
 Fewer invasive species entering coastal areas 	





Communications







Differences

GROWAR	AIMS
Work plan incorporating connected pilots that lead to logical end	Isolated pilots and activities
Clear need for transnational cooperation in order to achieve objectives and transnational relevance of investments	Questionable transnational cooperation angle; no transnational relevance of investments
Specific, realistic, quantified results	Results that are vague, unquantified and/or actually outputs in disguise
Specific communications activities that target groups to be affected by or participating in project	Communications activities that don't take project objectives, audience or stakeholders into account





Moral of the Story...

- Focused approach ('red thread') that addresses a real need and adds value
- Transnational cooperation and relevance (including investments, when applicable)
- Specific, quantified, realistic results with baselines
- Well thought-out communications plan

