SFC2014

KVITTERING FOR MODTAGELSE

Dette dokument kvitterer for Europa-Kommissionens modtagelse den 27. juni 2017 af version 2016.0 af gennemførelsesrapporten Danmark, sendt via SFC2014-systemet af Jakob Hansen (nhansejx) på vegne af deres medlemsstat.

Dette dokument attesterer, at Europa-Kommissionen officielt har registreret de dokumenter og strukturerede data, der beskrives i de følgende sider, i SFC2014-informationssystemet på en given dato og tidspunkt. Disse dokumenter og data er blevet indlæst i SFC2014-systemet af brugere, der er officielt udpeget af deres medlemsstat, og er formelt blevet overført til Europa-Kommissionen af den person, hvis navn er angivet på den første side. Denne kvittering for modtagelse kan som sådan ikke forpligte Europa-Kommissionen til andet end at anerkende modtagelsen af disse dokumenter og data. Denne kvittering for modtagelse kan på ingen måde anses som en forpligtelse til godkendelse eller accept.

Denne kvittering for modtagelse er underskrevet med et elektronisk certifikat, der garanterer signaturens dato og tidspunkt, såvel som dokumentets integritet. Europa-Kommissionen har certifikatet brugt til at underskrive dette dokument, og det kan kontrolleres med den tilsvarende offentlige nøgle. Både certifikatet og brugsanvisningen for, hvordan denne offentlige nøgle bruges, kan downloades fra SFC2014's supportwebsted

(http://ec.europa.eu/sfc/2014/en/content/how-verify-signature-acknowledgment-sent-sfc-system).

GENNEMFØRELSESRAPPORT UNDER MÅLET OM EUROPÆISK TERRITORIALT SAMARBEJDE

DEL A

IDENTIFIKATION AF GENNEMFØRELSESRAPPORT	DEN	ÅRLIGE/ENDELIGE
CCI	2014TC16RFTN005	
Betegnelse	North Sea	
Version	2016.0	
Dato for overvågningsudvalgets	30-05-2017	

godkendelse af rapporten

2. OVERBLIK OVER GENNEMFØRELSEN AF SAMARBEJDSPROGRAMMET (ARTIKEL 50, STK. 2, I FORORDNING (EU) NR. 1303/2013 OG ARTIKEL 14, STK. 3, LITRA A), I FORORDNING (EU) NR. 1299/2013)

Vigtige oplysninger om gennemførelsen af samarbejdsprogrammet for det pågældende år, herunder om finansielle instrumenter, vedrørende de finansielle data og indikatordata.

At Monitoring Committee (MC) meeting no. 1 in October 2015, the Committee agreed to take the experience and outcomes from Calls 1 and 2 into consideration before deciding on the set-up for future calls. An Evaluation Steering Group (ESG) was set up after the meeting, and the group met to discuss what a possible review of the calls could look like.

At the Programme's second MC meeting in Gothenburg from 11 to 12 May 2016, the group presented an evaluation of Call 1. An overview of the approved full applications showed that 11 of the 12 full applications submitted in Call 1 were approved with conditions.

The last conditional approvals were concluded during the last week of April 2016. The contract template had been agreed upon by the MC by written procedure in the beginning of April. It had been suggested that all approved full applications have an extension of the project lifetime by at least five months. Three full applications were asked to re-submit via written procedure; of these one withdrew and two were, at the time of the MC meeting, still awaiting a final decision from the partner countries. Shortly after the MC meeting, one of the resubmissions was approved via the written procedure, bringing the total of approved projects from Call 1 to 13.

At MC meeting no.2, the Joint Secretariat (JS) proposed a revised timeline for the next several calls. The timeline reduced the amount of time a potential project would have to wait from submission of the Expression of Interest (EoI) to final notification of approval or rejection from 18 to 12 months. The revised timeline showed that Call 3, open only for full applications (resulting from approved expressions of interest – EoIs - from Calls 1 or 2), would open in mid-November 2016 and close in February 2017. Call 4 would only be open for EoIs, would open at the end of June 2017 and would close at the end of August 2017. And Call 5 – open only to full applications again – would open in November 2018, with a June 2018 decision by the Steering Committee (SC). This means that a project that put in an EoI in Call 4 would only have to wait a year for a final decision.

The MC discussed the different options for a future call timeline and agreed on the JS's timeline proposal with the understanding that Call 4 EoIs would have a preparatory phase that would allow applicants to work on their EoIs from mid-November 2016 but only submit during summer 2017.

In addition to deciding on the timeline for upcoming calls, the MC approved during their second meeting the Programme's evaluation plan and agreed that the first part of the operational evaluation would begin as soon as information is available from two full application rounds. This part of the evaluation plan will review the two-step application procedure that has been a point of contention among members of the committee. Other highlights included the Committee's approval of proposed changes to Fact Sheets 2, 3, 11, 12, 14, 19, 23 and 25, approval of the first level control certificate, report, and

requirements as well as the first level controller designation form and checklist as part of Article 123, discussion of preparations for IVB Programme closure, and approval of a revised business plan including the proposal for a revised Technical Assistance (TA) budget for 2021 and 2022. The Committee also approved the transfer of budget savings to subsequent years' budgets. In addition, the Committee approved the draft Annual Report 2015 with some noted changes. The last items discussed were the North Sea Conference, the status of development for the Online Monitoring System, and the JS's work schedule until the spring of 2017.

After SC meeting no 1, it was clear that there were issues with regard to how applications were being assessed and approved. In order to discuss the issues before the next SC meeting, a meeting was planned for the day before the annual conference in Billund on 14 June 2016. The Committee discussed the application of the assessment criteria as well as how to implement conditional approvals.

Call 2 was open from 15 January to 14 March 2016. The Programme continued the pilot of a two-step application process for the call whereby applicants first submit a short EoI and, if approved, go on to submit a full application for the next call. The Programme received 25 full applications and 46 EoIs. Seventeen of the 25 full applications were from EoIs that had been approved in Call 1. The remaining eight full applications were resubmissions from Call 1. A number of EoIs that were approved in Call 1 did not apply in Call 2 and were therefore expected to apply in Call 3. These numbers are broken down by priority in Section 3 of this report.

The second SC meeting was held in Copenhagen on 27 and 28 September 2016. The Committee approved 11 full applications and 19 EoIs. All but two of the approved applications had been recommended for approval by the JS. Of the rejected projects, decisions on EoIs followed the JS's recommendations in the great majority of cases; however, there were five EoIs and one full application that were recommended by the JS for approval and rejected by the SC. The main reason for rejection of full applications was the weakness of project result indicators, which was also an issue for several of the approved projects, though not to the extent that they were rejected. As a result, a negotiation procedure was initiated with all approved projects after the SC meeting in order to adjust applications before contracting. For some projects this issue had not been completely resolved by the end of 2016.

Reconsideration of a rejected application: Initially, the Secretariat had recommended the application for approval at SC meeting no 1. The SC did not find consensus on following the recommendation and the application was therefore rejected with an invitation to re-apply by written procedure. For the written procedure, the project was asked to improve a number of items in the application. At the deadline of the written procedure, there was still no consensus on approving the application. The voting results and comments provided in the written procedure, in particular, led to further discussions amongst the countries as to whether the improvements had been met. The decision to reject the project application by written procedure was then finally confirmed at the SC meeting in Billund in June.

The Lead Beneficiary received the rejection letter shortly thereafter, and proceeded to send a formal complaint to the Secretariat. The Secretariat then provided the Complaint Panel, which consisted of past, current, and future Chairs of the MC, with a technical report about the justification of the complaint.

The SC had decided to reject the project; the applicant was informed in the decision

letter about the rejection.

3. PRIORITETSAKSENS GENNEMFØRELSE

3.1 Overblik over gennemførelsen

ID	Prioritetsakse	Vigtige oplysninger om gennemførelsen af prioritetsaksen for det eller de pågældende år med henvisning til hovedudviklingen, væsentlige problemer og foranstaltninger truffet for at løse disse problemer
1	Thinking Growth: Supporting growth in North Sea Region economies	The second call was open from the 15 January to the 14 March 2016 and Priority 1 received 22 expressions of interest (EoIs) and 6 full applications. The second Steering Committee (SC) meeting was in September 2016 and in Priority 1, 10 EoIs were approved to move on to the second step and 4 full applications were approved. EoIs which were approved are expected to submit a full application in Call 3 or 5 (as Call 4 is for EoIs only). Most of the full applications were approved with requirements. It was mandatory that the Joint Secretariat (JS) initiate a negotiation procedure primarily to improve the result indicators to ensure that the results did not represent deliverables/outputs and as such failed to demonstrate the aim or ambition in terms of what the real change/benefit was expected to be. This was a long process both for projects and the JS, however 3 out of 4 projects approved in Priority 1 were able to complete this process by the end of 2016.
		The JS is committed to supporting the projects until the issues are resolved. It is expected that all issues regarding result indicators and any SC requirements will be completed at the beginning of 2017. Following up on the Call 1 project which was rejected but asked to reply via written procedure - see a detailed description of the process and findings above in section 2. Finally, the Interwork event in Gothenburg on 15-16 November 2016 provided project developers with the opportunity for feedback on submitting a full application in Call 3 or on project ideas and guidance for submitting an EoI in the upcoming Call 4 (preparation phase November 2016 -

ID	Prioritetsakse	Vigtige oplysninger om gennemførelsen af prioritetsaksen for det eller de pågældende år med henvisning til hovedudviklingen, væsentlige problemer og foranstaltninger truffet for at løse disse problemer
		beginning of June 2017). Call 3 opened on 14 November 2016 and would close on 1 February 2017.
2	Eco-innovation: Stimulating the green economy	Call 2, during which the JS welcomed both EoIs and full applications, opened on 15 January 2016 and closed on 14 March. Priority 2 received eight EoIs and six full applications. The second SC meeting was in September 2016, and two of the EoIs were approved to go to the next stage, while only one full application was approved. The approved full application in Call 2 was required to make some adjustments to the measurements of their results in order to obtain final approval from the JS, which they did by 3 October 2016.
		On 15 June, Priority 2 project advisors held a seminar at the North Sea Conference 2016 in Billund, Denmark, for those interested in submitting a project application on green transport and mobility. Project advisors also attended kick-off meetings for both the Dual Ports and SCALE-UP projects in 2016.
		In preparation for Call 3 the JS held an Interwork event in Gothenburg, Sweden on 15 and 16 November. During the event the JS gave information to those interested in submitting project ideas and EoIs, as well as to those developing full applications from the EoI stage. The second day featured 'speed dating' sessions at which individual project ideas and projects met with representatives of the JS for individualised feedback. Call 3 opened on 15 November and closed on 1 February 2017.
		So by the end of 2016, three projects were approved in Priority 2 with a total of €7.192.595 in ERDF and €223.222 Norwegian funding. This leaves € 37.965.977 in ERDF and € 2.402.918 in

ID	Prioritetsakse	Vigtige oplysninger om gennemførelsen af prioritetsaksen for det eller de pågældende år med henvisning til hovedudviklingen, væsentlige problemer og foranstaltninger truffet for at løse disse problemer
		Norwegian funding for future projects.
3	Sustainable North Sea Region: Protecting against climate change and preserving the environment	Call 2, during which the JS welcomed both EoIs and full applications, opened on 15 January 2016 and closed on 14 March. Priority 3 received nine EoIs and nine full applications. The second SC meeting was in September 2016, and four of the EoIs were approved to go to the next stage, while five full applications were approved. Call 3, which is only for full applications, may therefore see up to ten full applications, if all five approved EoIs in Call 2 and one EoI approved in Call 1 apply and the four rejected full applications in Call 2 reapply. The five approved full applications in Call 2 were required to make some adjustments in their applications in accordance with the SC's approval requirements in order to obtain final approval from the JS. The adjustment procedures for the five approved applications were completed at new year 2016/17. Project advisors attended five kick-off meetings for approved priority 3 projects (Topsoil, Water Co-Governance, NorthSee, BWN and FAIR projects) in the first half of 2016. On 15 June, Priority 3 project advisors held a seminar at the North Sea Conference 2016 in Billund, Denmark for those interested in submitting a project application within Priority 3, Sustainable North Sea Region.
		featured 'speed dating' sessions at which individual project ideas and projects met with representatives of the JS for individualised feedback. Call 3 opened on 15 November 2016 and closed on 1 February 2017.

ID	Prioritetsakse	Vigtige oplysninger om gennemførelsen af prioritetsaksen for det eller de pågældende år med henvisning til hovedudviklingen, væsentlige problemer og foranstaltninger truffet for at løse disse problemer
4	Promoting green transport and mobility	Call 2, during which the JS welcomed both EoIs and full applications, opened on 15 January 2016 and closed on 14 March. Priority 4 received seven EoIs and four full applications. The second SC meeting was in September 2016, and three of the EoIs were approved to go to the next stage, while only one full application was approved. Call 3, which is only for full applications, may therefore see up to six full applications if all three EoIs apply and the three rejected full applications reapply. The approved full application in Call 2 was required to make some adjustments to the measurements of their results in order to obtain final approval from the JS, which they did by 19 December.
		On 15 June Priority 4 project advisors held a seminar at the North Sea Conference 2016 in Billund, Denmark on the priority for those interested in submitting a project application on green transport and mobility. Project advisors also attended kick-off meetings for both the SHARE-North and SEEV4-City projects in 2016.
		In preparation for Call 3 the JS held an Interwork event in Gothenburg, Sweden on 15 and 16 November. During the event the JS gave information to those interested in submitting project ideas and EoIs as well as to those developing full applications from the EoI stage. The second day featured 'speed dating' sessions at which individual project ideas and projects met with representatives of the JS for individualised feedback. Call 3 opened on 15 November and closed on 1 February 2017.
		By the end of 2016, three projects were approved in Priority 4 with a total of €6,884,202 in ERDF

ID	Prioritetsakse	Vigtige oplysninger om gennemførelsen af prioritetsaksen for det eller de pågældende år med henvisning til hovedudviklingen, væsentlige problemer og foranstaltninger truffet for at løse disse problemer
		and Norwegian funding. This leaves €22,594,168 in ERDF and €608,300 in Norwegian funding for future projects.
5	Technical Assistance	In 2016 the Interreg VB North Sea Region programme entered the last part of its initial phase and is about to enter the main operational phase. This meant that the transition phase between the old Interreg IVB and the new Interreg VB programme was almost completed with only the final part of the IVB closure procedure remaining. 2016 was in other words the first year in which TA expenditure was funded by the Interreg VB TA budget. The TA budget is described in detail in the programme's business plan which is a "live-document" subject to revisions and updates on a regular basis.
		TA activities in 2016 covered the delivery of the second call for applications and subsequent decisions on/contracting with 11 new projects with a total ERDF/Norwegian equivalent budget of \notin 27.7 m.
		Another significant activity in 2016 was the development and approval of the programme evaluation plan, which will be delivered within 2017 and subsequent years with final completion in 2022.
		As part of the programme preparation it was decided to develop an On-line Monitoring System (OMS) which became operational in 2015 and was used for the first call for applications in 2015. The OMS is developed in modules and additional modules were developed in 2016 adding facilities to the system, which is anticipated to be fully completed in 2017.

ID	Prioritetsakse	Vigtige oplysninger om gennemførelsen af prioritetsaksen for det eller de pågældende år med henvisning til hovedudviklingen, væsentlige problemer og foranstaltninger truffet for at løse disse problemer
		2016 also saw the initial steps in the programmes capitalization initiative which is part of the programme communication strategy and will become the main vehicle of communicating the programme achievements and success-stories. One main emphasis in the initiative is to illustrate how the Interreg funding has been used as a catalyst for further initiatives involving other funding both from EU sources and other

3.2 Fælles og programspecifikke indikatorer (artikel 50, stk. 2, i forordning (EU) nr. 1303/2013)

Prioritetsakser, undtagen teknisk bistand

Prioritetsakse	1 - Thinking Growth: Supporting growth in North Sea Region economies
Investeringsprioritet	1b - Fremme erhvervslivets investeringer i F&I, udvikle forbindelser og synergier mellem virksomheder, forsknings- og udviklingscentre og sektoren for videregående uddannelser, navnlig fremme af investering i produkt- og tjenesteydelsesudvikling, teknologioverførsel, social innovation, miljøinnovation, public service-applikationer, stimulering af efterspørgsel, netværkssamarbejde, klynger og åben innovation ved hjælp af intelligent specialisering samt støtte til teknologisk og anvendt forskning, pilotlinjer, aktioner med henblik på hurtig produktvalidering, avanceret produktionskapacitet og første produktion, navnlig inden for centrale nøgleteknologier og formidling af teknologier til anvendelse inden for alle områder

(1)	ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Målværdi	2016	Bemærkninger
F	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter		30,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter		30,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Organisations	20,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
S	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Organisations	20,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting	Organisations and	230,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

(1)	ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Målværdi	2016	Bemærkninger
		new solutions by project end	enterprises			
s	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	230,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	2.295,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	2.295,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	1.1	Number of enterprises cooperating with new / improved knowledge partnerships	Enterprises	500,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	1.1	Number of enterprises cooperating with new / improved knowledge partnerships	Enterprises	500,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	1.2	Number of improved or new innovation support measures launched for businesses	Measures	21,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	1.2	Number of improved or new innovation support measures launched for businesses	Measures	21,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	1.3	Number of improved or new innovation support measures launched for public service delivery		21,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	1.3	Number of improved or new innovation support measures launched for public service delivery		21,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
F	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
s	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
F	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller	0,00	0,00

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
		interregionale forskningsprojekter		
S	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
F	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
s	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
F	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
s	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
F	1.1	Number of enterprises cooperating with new / improved knowledge partnerships	0,00	0,00
S	1.1	Number of enterprises cooperating with new / improved knowledge partnerships	0,00	0,00
F	1.2	Number of improved or new innovation support measures launched for businesses	0,00	0,00
S	1.2	Number of improved or new innovation support measures launched for businesses	0,00	0,00
F	1.3	Number of improved or new innovation support measures launched for public service delivery	0,00	0,00
S	1.3	Number of improved or new innovation support measures launched for public service delivery	0,00	0,00

Prioritetsakse	1 - Thinking Growth: Supporting growth in North Sea Region economies
Investeringsprioritet	1b - Fremme erhvervslivets investeringer i F&I, udvikle forbindelser og synergier mellem virksomheder, forsknings- og udviklingscentre og sektoren for videregående uddannelser, navnlig fremme af investering i produkt- og tjenesteydelsesudvikling, teknologioverførsel, social innovation, miljøinnovation, public service-applikationer, stimulering af efterspørgsel, netværkssamarbejde, klynger og åben innovation ved hjælp af intelligent specialisering samt støtte til teknologisk og anvendt forskning, pilotlinjer, aktioner med henblik på hurtig produktvalidering, avanceret produktionskapacitet og første produktion, navnlig inden for centrale nøgleteknologier og formidling af teknologier til anvendelse inden for alle områder
Specifikt mål	1.1 - Develop new or improved knowledge partnerships between businesses, knowledge institutions, public administrations and end users with a view to long-term cooperation (post project) on developing products and services

ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Referenceværdi	Referenc eår	Målværdi (2023) I alt	2016 I alt	2016 Kvalitativ	Bemærkninger
1.1	Capacity of knowledge partnerships in the North Sea Region to deliver marketable product, service and process innovations	potential	2.8	2015	3.3		0	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

ID	Indikator	2015 I alt	2015 Kvalitativ	2014 I alt	2014 Kvalitativ
1.1	Capacity of knowledge partnerships in the North Sea Region to deliver marketable product, service and		0		0

ID	Indikator	2015 I alt	2015 Kvalitativ	2014 I alt	2014 Kvalitativ
	process innovations				

Prioritetsakse	1 - Thinking Growth: Supporting growth in North Sea Region economies
Investeringsprioritet	1b - Fremme erhvervslivets investeringer i F&I, udvikle forbindelser og synergier mellem virksomheder, forsknings- og udviklingscentre og sektoren for videregående uddannelser, navnlig fremme af investering i produkt- og tjenesteydelsesudvikling, teknologioverførsel, social innovation, miljøinnovation, public service-applikationer, stimulering af efterspørgsel, netværkssamarbejde, klynger og åben innovation ved hjælp af intelligent specialisering samt støtte til teknologisk og anvendt forskning, pilotlinjer, aktioner med henblik på hurtig produktvalidering, avanceret produktionskapacitet og første produktion, navnlig inden for centrale nøgleteknologier og formidling af teknologier til anvendelse inden for alle områder
Specifikt mål	1.2 - Enhance regional innovation support capacity to increase long-term innovation levels and support smart specialization strategies.

ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Referenceværdi	Referenc eår	Målværdi (2023) I alt	2016 I alt	2016 Kvalitativ	Bemærkninger
1.2	Capacity of authorities / practitioners to increase the scope and quality of innovation in enterprises	Qualitative analysis of capacity / potential	2.6	2015	3.1		0	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

ID	Indikator	2015 I alt	2015 Kvalitativ	2014 I alt	2014 Kvalitativ
1.2	Capacity of authorities / practitioners to increase the scope and quality of innovation in		0		0

ID	Indikator	2015 I alt	2015 Kvalitativ	2014 I alt	2014 Kvalitativ
	enterprises				

Prioritetsakse	1 - Thinking Growth: Supporting growth in North Sea Region economies
Investeringsprioritet	1b - Fremme erhvervslivets investeringer i F&I, udvikle forbindelser og synergier mellem virksomheder, forsknings- og udviklingscentre og sektoren for videregående uddannelser, navnlig fremme af investering i produkt- og tjenesteydelsesudvikling, teknologioverførsel, social innovation, miljøinnovation, public service-applikationer, stimulering af efterspørgsel, netværkssamarbejde, klynger og åben innovation ved hjælp af intelligent specialisering samt støtte til teknologisk og anvendt forskning, pilotlinjer, aktioner med henblik på hurtig produktvalidering, avanceret produktionskapacitet og første produktion, navnlig inden for centrale nøgleteknologier og formidling af teknologier til anvendelse inden for alle områder
Specifikt mål	1.3 - Stimulate the public sector to generate innovation demand and innovative solutions for improving public service delivery

ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Referenceværdi	Referenc eår	Målværdi (2023) I alt	2016 I alt	2016 Kvalitativ	Bemærkninger
1.3	Capacity of authorities / practitioners to increase the scope and quality of innovation in public service delivery	Qualitative analysis of capacity / potential	2.3	2015	2.8		0	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

ID	Indikator	2015 I alt	2015 Kvalitativ	2014 I alt	2014 Kvalitativ
1.3	Capacity of authorities / practitioners to increase the scope and quality of innovation in public		0		0

ID	Indikator	2015 I alt	2015 Kvalitativ	2014 I alt	2014 Kvalitativ
	service delivery				

Prioritetsakse	2 - Eco-innovation: Stimulating the green economy
Investeringsprioritet	6g - Støtte en industriel overgang til en ressourceeffektiv økonomi og fremme grøn vækst, miljøinnovation og forvaltning af miljøfremmeforanstaltninger i den offentlige og private sektor

(1)	ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Målværdi	2016	Bemærkninger
F	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Enterprises	30,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
S	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Enterprises	30,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Organisations	20,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
S	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Organisations	20,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	222,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	222,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	2.225,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed	Organisations and	2.225,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

(1	ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Målværdi	2016	Bemærkninger
		about new solutions by project end	enterprises			
F	2.1	Number of green products, services and processes piloted and/or adopted by the project	Green products, services or processes developed	54,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
S	2.1	Number of green products, services and processes piloted and/or adopted by the project			0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
F	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
s	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
F	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
S	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
F	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
s	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
F	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
s	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
F	2.1	Number of green products, services and processes piloted and/or adopted by the project	0,00	0,00

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
s	2.1	Number of green products, services and processes piloted and/or adopted by the project	0,00	0,00

Prioritetsakse	2 - Eco-innovation: Stimulating the green economy
Investeringsprioritet	6g - Støtte en industriel overgang til en ressourceeffektiv økonomi og fremme grøn vækst, miljøinnovation og forvaltning af miljøfremmeforanstaltninger i den offentlige og private sektor
Specifikt mål	2.1 - Promote the development and adoption of products, services and processes to accelerate greening of the North Sea Region economy

ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Referenceværdi	Referenc eår	Målværdi (2023) I alt	2016 I alt	2016 Kvalitativ	Bemærkninger
2.1	Capacity of enterprises and organisations to adopt new or improved green products, processes and services	Capacity scale	2.6	2015	3.6		0	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

ID	Indikator	2015 I alt	2015 Kvalitativ	2014 I alt	2014 Kvalitativ
2.1	Capacity of enterprises and organisations to adopt new or improved green products, processes and services		0		0

Prioritetsakse	2 - Eco-innovation: Stimulating the green economy
Investeringsprioritet	6g - Støtte en industriel overgang til en ressourceeffektiv økonomi og fremme grøn vækst, miljøinnovation og forvaltning af miljøfremmeforanstaltninger i den offentlige og private sektor
Specifikt mål	2.2 - Stimulate the adoption of new products, services and processes to reduce the environmental footprint of regions around the North Sea

ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Referenceværdi	Referenc eår	Målværdi (2023) I alt	2016 I alt	2016 Kvalitativ	Bemærkninger
2.2	Capacity of authorities / practitioners around the North Sea to identify and implement new ways of reducing their environmental footprint	scale	2.8	2015	3.8		0	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

ID	Indikator	2015 I alt	2015 Kvalitativ	2014 I alt	2014 Kvalitativ
2.2	Capacity of authorities / practitioners around the North Sea to identify and implement new ways of reducing their environmental footprint		0		0

Prioritetsakse	3 - Sustainable North Sea Region: Protecting against climate change and preserving the environment
Investeringsprioritet	5a - Støtte investeringer i tilpasning til klimaforandringer, herunder økosystembaserede tilgange

(1)	ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Målværdi	2016	Bemærkninger
F	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Enterprises	5,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Enterprises	5,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Organisations	10,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Organisations	10,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	92,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
S	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	92,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	919,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	919,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

	(1)	ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Målværdi	2016	Bemærkninger
:	F		Number of new and/or improved climate change adaptation solutions demonstrated	Solutions	21,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
;	s		Number of new and/or improved climate change adaptation solutions demonstrated	Solutions	21,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
F	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
s	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
F	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
s	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
F	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
s	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
F	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
s	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
F	3.7	Number of new and/or improved climate change adaptation solutions demonstrated	0,00	0,00
s	3.7	Number of new and/or improved climate change adaptation solutions demonstrated	0,00	0,00

Prioritetsakse	3 - Sustainable North Sea Region: Protecting against climate change and preserving the environment
Investeringsprioritet	5a - Støtte investeringer i tilpasning til klimaforandringer, herunder økosystembaserede tilgange
Specifikt mål	3.1 - Demonstrate new and/or improved methods for improving the climate resilience of target sites

ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Referenceværdi	Referenc eår	Målværdi (2023) I alt	2016 I alt	2016 Kvalitativ	Bemærkninger
3.1	Capacity of relevant authorities / practitioners around the North Sea to identify and implement solutions for improving climate change resilience	Capacity scale	2.7	2015	3.7		0	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

ID	Indikator	2015 I alt	2015 Kvalitativ	2014 I alt	2014 Kvalitativ
3.1	Capacity of relevant authorities / practitioners around the North Sea to identify and implement solutions for improving climate change resilience		0		0

Prioritetsakse	3 - Sustainable North Sea Region: Protecting against climate change and preserving the environment
Investeringsprioritet	6d - Beskytte og genetablere biodiversitet og jordbunden og fremme tjenesteydelser vedrørende økosystemer, herunder gennem Natura 2000 og grøn infrastruktur

(1)	ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Målværdi	2016	Bemærkninger
F	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Enterprises	5,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
S	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Enterprises	5,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Organisations	10,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Organisations	10,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	92,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
S	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	92,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	918,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed	Organisations and	918,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

(1)	ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Målværdi	2016	Bemærkninger
		about new solutions by project end	enterprises			
F	3.2	Number of sites managed using new solutions supporting long-term sustainability	Sites	35,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	3.2	Number of sites managed using new solutions supporting long-term sustainability	Sites	35,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
F	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
s	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
F	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
s	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
F	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
s	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
F	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
s	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
F	3.2	Number of sites managed using new solutions supporting long-term sustainability	0,00	0,00
s	3.2	Number of sites managed using new solutions	0,00	0,00

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
		supporting long-term sustainability		

Prioritetsakse	3 - Sustainable North Sea Region: Protecting against climate change and preserving the environment
Investeringsprioritet	6d - Beskytte og genetablere biodiversitet og jordbunden og fremme tjenesteydelser vedrørende økosystemer, herunder gennem Natura 2000 og grøn infrastruktur
Specifikt mål	3.2 - Develop new methods for the long-term sustainable management of North Sea ecosystems

ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Referenceværdi	Referenc eår	Målværdi (2023) I alt	2016 I alt	2016 Kvalitativ	Bemærkninger
3.2	Capacity of North Sea regions to improve the quality of the environment	Capacity scale	2.9	2015	3.9		0	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

ID	Indikator	2015 I alt	2015 Kvalitativ	2014 I alt	2014 Kvalitativ
3.2	Capacity of North Sea regions to improve the quality of the environment		0		0

Prioritetsakse	4 - Promoting green transport and mobility
Investeringsprioritet	7c - Udvikle og forbedre miljøvenlige (herunder støjsvage) lavemissionstransportsystemer, herunder indre vandveje og søtransport, havne, multimodale forbindelser og lufthavnsinfrastruktur, for at fremme bæredygtig regional og lokal mobilitet

(1)	ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Målværdi	2016	Bemærkninger
F	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Enterprises	10,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Enterprises	10,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Organisations	20,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	Organisations	20,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	144,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	144,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
F	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	Organisations and enterprises	1.445,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed	Organisations and	1.445,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

(1)	ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Målværdi	2016	Bemærkninger
		about new solutions by project end	enterprises			
F		Number of new and/or improved green transport solutions adopted	Green transport solutions	54,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.
s		Number of new and/or improved green transport solutions adopted	Green transport solutions	54,00	0,00	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
F	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
s	CO41	Produktiv investering: Antal virksomheder, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
F	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
s	CO42	Produktiv investering: Antal forskningsinstitutioner, der deltager i grænseoverskridende, tværnationale eller interregionale forskningsprojekter	0,00	0,00
F	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
s	0.1	Number of organizations / enterprises adopting new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
F	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
s	0.2	Number of organizations / enterprises informed about new solutions by project end	0,00	0,00
F	4.1	Number of new and/or improved green transport solutions adopted	0,00	0,00
s	4.1	Number of new and/or improved green	0,00	0,00

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
		transport solutions adopted		

Prioritetsakse	4 - Promoting green transport and mobility
Investeringsprioritet	7c - Udvikle og forbedre miljøvenlige (herunder støjsvage) lavemissionstransportsystemer, herunder indre vandveje og søtransport, havne, multimodale forbindelser og lufthavnsinfrastruktur, for at fremme bæredygtig regional og lokal mobilitet
Specifikt mål	4.1 - Develop demonstrations of innovative and/or improved transport and logistics solutions with potential to move large volumes of freight away from long-distance road transportation

ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Referenceværdi	Referenc eår	Målværdi (2023) I alt	2016 I alt	2016 Kvalitativ	Bemærkninger
4.1	Capacity of transport and logistics stakeholders to increase the proportion of long- distance freight carried on sustainable modes in the North Sea Region	Capacity scale	2.7	2015	3.7		0	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

ID	Indikator	2015 I alt	2015 Kvalitativ	2014 I alt	2014 Kvalitativ
4.1	Capacity of transport and logistics stakeholders to increase the proportion of long- distance freight		0		0
ID	Indikator	2015 I alt	2015 Kvalitativ	2014 I alt	2014 Kvalitativ
----	---	------------	-----------------	------------	-----------------
	carried on sustainable modes in the North Sea Region				

Prioritetsakse	4 - Promoting green transport and mobility
Investeringsprioritet	7c - Udvikle og forbedre miljøvenlige (herunder støjsvage) lavemissionstransportsystemer, herunder indre vandveje og søtransport, havne, multimodale forbindelser og lufthavnsinfrastruktur, for at fremme bæredygtig regional og lokal mobilitet
Specifikt mål	4.2 - Stimulate the take-up and application of green transport solutions for regional freight and personal transport

Tabel 1: Resultatindikatorer

ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Referenceværdi	Referenc eår	Målværdi (2023) I alt	2016 I alt	2016 Kvalitativ	Bemærkninger
4.2	Capacity of authorities and enterprises to increase the use of green transport services		3.0	2015	4.0		0	No progress on results as no projects contracted by end of 2016.

ID	Indikator	2015 I alt	2015 Kvalitativ	2014 I alt	2014 Kvalitativ
4.2	Capacity of authorities and enterprises to increase the use of green transport services		0		0

Prioritetsakser for teknisk bistand

Prioritetsakse

5 - Technical Assistance

Tabel 2: Fælles og programspecifikke outputindikatorer

(1)	ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Målværdi	2016	Bemærkninger
F	5.1	Number of project ideas advised	Project ideas	500,00	69,00	Internal monitoring at JS and NCPs.
s	5.1	Number of project ideas advised	Project ideas	500,00	69,00	Internal monitoring at JS and NCPs.
F	5.2	Number of applications received and assessed	Applications	237,00	71,00	Monitoring system.
s	5.2	Number of applications received and assessed	Applications	237,00	71,00	Monitoring system.
F	5.3	Percentage of programme output targets met	% output indicators achieved	95,00	0,00	Monitoring system.
s	5.3	Percentage of programme output targets met	% output indicators achieved	95,00	0,00	Monitoring system.
F	5.4	Number of visitors to programme website	Visitors	150.000,00	37.284,00	Count tool on website.
s	5.4	Number of visitors to programme website	Visitors	150.000,00	37.284,00	Count tool on website.
F	5.5	Number of participants at events promoting the programme and its results	Participants	10.000,00	710,00	Monitoring by JS and other event organisers.
s	5.5	Number of participants at events promoting the programme and its results	Participants	10.000,00	710,00	Monitoring by JS and other event organisers.
F	5.6	Amount of expenditure requiring follow-up and / or correction	% of total programme budget	2,32	0,00	Monitoring (baseline is 4.82%) .

(1)	ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Målværdi	2016	Bemærkninger
s	5.6	Amount of expenditure requiring follow-up and / or correction	% of total programme budget	2,32	0,00	Monitoring (baseline is 4.82%)
F	5.7	Number of employees (FTEs) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	FTEs	12,50	14,00	Approximate number.
S	5.7	Number of employees (FTEs) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	FTEs	12,50	14,00	Approximate number.

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
F	5.1	Number of project ideas advised	173,00	0,00
s	5.1	Number of project ideas advised	173,00	0,00
F	5.2	Number of applications received and assessed	79,00	0,00
s	5.2	Number of applications received and assessed	79,00	0,00
F	5.3	Percentage of programme output targets met	0,00	0,00
s	5.3	Percentage of programme output targets met	0,00	0,00
F	5.4	Number of visitors to programme website	37.407,00	37.407,00
s	5.4	Number of visitors to programme website	37.407,00	37.407,00
F	5.5	Number of participants at events promoting the programme and its results	1.267,00	1.267,00
s	5.5	Number of participants at events promoting the programme and its results	1.267,00	1.267,00
F	5.6	Amount of expenditure requiring follow-up and / or correction	0,00	0,00
s	5.6	Amount of expenditure requiring follow-up and / or correction	0,00	0,00
F	5.7	Number of employees (FTEs) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	14,00	14,00

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
s	5.7	Number of employees (FTEs) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance	14,00	14,00

Prioritetsakse	5 - Technical Assistance
Specifikt mål	5.1 - To communicate the programme to relevant stakeholders and stimulate them to develop and deliver high quality projects

Tabel 1: Resultatindikatorer

ID	Indikator	Måleenhed	Referenceværdi	Referenc eår	Målværdi (2023) I alt	2016 I alt	2016 Kvalitativ	Bemærkninger
5.1	No indicator required	NA	0,00	0	0,00			

I	D	Indikator	2015 I alt	2015 Kvalitativ	2014 I alt	2014 Kvalitativ
5	5.1	No indicator required				

Prioritetsakse	5 - Technical Assistance
Specifikt mål	5.2 - To ensure the sound financial management of the programme at all levels so that implementation happens with a minimum of errors, without delays, and in line with all applicable regulations

Tabel 1: Resultatindikatorer

п)	Indikator	Måleenhed	Referenceværdi	Referenc eår	Målværdi (2023) I alt	2016 I alt	2016 Kvalitativ	Bemærkninger
5.	·)	Also not required	NA	0,00	0	0,00			

ID	Indikator	2015 I alt	2015 Kvalitativ	2014 I alt	2014 Kvalitativ
5.2	Also not required				

3.3 Table 3: Information on the milestones and targets defined in the performance framework

Prioritetsa kse	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Måleenhe d	Milestone for 2018 total	2016 Final target (2023) total	2016	Bemærkninger
1	F	P1.1	Total eligible expenditure incurred by beneficiaries and entered in the accounting system of the Certifying Authority	EUR (ERDF + co- financing)	2250000	93.662.224,00	0,00	No claim has been submitted yet to the European Comission.
1	Ι	P 1.2	Number of applications received and assessed	Applicati ons	27	54,00	13,00	The figures only represent Full Applications. In total 38 Expression of Interests has been submitted for call 1 and call 2.
1	0	1.1	Number of enterprises cooperating with new / improved knowledge partnerships	Enterpris es	NA	500,00	0,00	More information will be available within next reporting round.
1	0	1.2	Number of improved or new innovation support measures launched for businesses	Measures	NA	21,00	0,00	More information will be available within next reporting round.
1	0	1.3	Number of improved or new innovation support measures launched for public service delivery	Measures	NA	21,00	0,00	More information will be available within next reporting round.
2	F	P1.1	Total eligible expenditure incurred by beneficiaries and entered in the accounting system of the Certifying Authority	EUR (ERDF + co- financing)	2250000	90.317.144,00	0,00	No claim has been submitted yet to the European Comission.
2	Ι	P 1.2	Number of applications received and assessed	Applicati ons	15	30,00	10,00	The figures only represent Full Applications. In total 19 Expression of Interests has been submitted for call 1 and call 2.
2	0	2.1	Number of green products, services and processes piloted and/or adopted	Green products,	NA	54,00	0,00	More information will be available within next reporting round.

Prioritetsa kse	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Måleenhe d	Milestone for 2018 total	2016 Final target (2023) total	2016	Bemærkninger
			by the project	services or processes develope d				
3	F	P1.1	Total eligible expenditure incurred by beneficiaries and entered in the accounting system of the Certifying Authority	EUR (ERDF + co- financing)	2250000	73.591.748,00	0,00	No claim has been submitted yet to the European Comission.
3	Ι	P 1.2	Number of applications received and assessed	Applicati ons	13	26,00	14,00	The figures only represent Full Applications. In total 22 Expression of Interests has been submitted for call 1 and call 2.
3	0	3.1	Number of new and/or improved climate change adaptation methods demonstrated	Climate change adaptatio n solutions	NA	21,00	0,00	More information will be available within next reporting round.
3	0	3.2	Number of sites managed using new solutions supporting long-term sustainability	Sites	NA	35,00	0,00	More information will be available within next reporting round.
4	F	P1.1	Total eligible expenditure incurred by beneficiaries and entered in the accounting system of the Certifying Authority	EUR (ERDF + co- financing)	2250000	56.866.350,00	0,00	No claim has been submitted yet to the European Comission.
4	Ι	P 1.2	Number of applications received and assessed	Applicati ons	20	40,00	9,00	The figures only represent Full Applications. In total 17 Expression of Interests has been submitted for call 1 and call 2.
4	0	4.1	Number of new and/or improved green transport solutions adopted	Green transport solutions	NA	54,00	0,00	More information will be available within next reporting round.

Prioritetsa kse	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Måleenh ed	2015	2014
1	F	P1.1	Total eligible expenditure incurred by beneficiaries and entered in the accounting system of the Certifying Authority	EUR (ERDF + co- financing)	0,00	0,00
1	I	P 1.2	Number of applications received and assessed	Applicati ons	13,00	0,00
1	0	1.1	Number of enterprises cooperating with new / improved knowledge partnerships	Enterpris es	0,00	0,00
1	0	1.2	Number of improved or new innovation support measures launched for businesses	Measures	0,00	0,00
1	0	1.3	Number of improved or new innovation support measures launched for public service delivery	Measures	0,00	0,00
2	F	P1.1	Total eligible expenditure incurred by beneficiaries and entered in the accounting system of the Certifying Authority	EUR (ERDF + co- financing)	0,00	0,00
2	I	P 1.2	Number of applications received and assessed	Applicati ons	4,00	0,00
2	0	2.1	Number of green products, services and processes piloted and/or adopted by the project	Green products, services or processes develope d	0,00	0,00
3	F	P1.1	Total eligible expenditure incurred by	EUR	0,00	0,00

Prioritetsa kse	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Måleenh ed	2015	2014
			beneficiaries and entered in the accounting system of the Certifying Authority	(ERDF + co- financing)		
3	I	P 1.2	Number of applications received and assessed	Applicati ons	7,00	0,00
3	0	3.1	Number of new and/or improved climate change adaptation methods demonstrated	Climate change adaptatio n solutions	0,00	0,00
3	0	3.2	Number of sites managed using new solutions supporting long-term sustainability	Sites	0,00	0,00
4	F	P1.1	Total eligible expenditure incurred by beneficiaries and entered in the accounting system of the Certifying Authority	EUR (ERDF + co- financing)	0,00	0,00
4	Ι	P 1.2	Number of applications received and assessed	Applicati ons	6,00	0,00
4	0	4.1	Number of new and/or improved green transport solutions adopted	Green transport solutions	0,00	0,00

3.4. Finansielle oplysninger

Tabel 4: Finansielle oplysninger vedrørende prioritetsakser og programmer

«r.ir.etc.table4.header»

Prioritets akse	Fond	Beregnings grundlag	Finansiering i alt	Medfinansieringsra te	De samlede støtteberettigede udgifter til operationer, som er udvalgt til støtte	Andel af den samlede tildeling, som er omfattet af udvalgte foranstaltninger	Offentlige støtteberettigede udgifter til operationer, som er udvalgt til støtte	De samlede støtteberettigede udgifter, som modtagerne har anmeldt til forvaltningsmyndig heden	Andel af den samlede tildeling, som er omfattet af støtteberettigede udgifter anmeldt af støttemodtagerne	Antal udvalgte foranstaltninger
1	EFRU	I alt	93.662.224,00	50,00	31.944.574,00	34,11%	15.972.287,00	0,00	0,00%	8
2	EFRU	I alt	90.317.144,00	50,00	14.385.190,00	15,93%	7.192.595,00	0,00	0,00%	3
3	EFRU	I alt	73.591.748,00	50,00	51.255.998,00	69,65%	25.627.999,00	0,00	0,00%	10
4	EFRU	I alt	56.866.350,00	50,00	11.678.014,00	20,54%	5.839.007,00	0,00	0,00%	3
5	EFRU	Offentlig	14.336.054,00	70,00	14.336.054,00	100,00%	10.035.238,00	1.405.523,00	9,80%	0
I alt	EFRU		328.773.520,00	50,87	123.599.830,00	37,59%	64.667.126,00	1.405.523,00	0,43%	24
Samlet tal			328.773.520,00	50,87	123.599.830,00	37,59%	64.667.126,00	1.405.523,00	0,43%	24

Hvis det er relevant, indberettes anvendelsen af bidrag fra tredjelande, der deltager i samarbejdsprogrammet (f.eks. IPA og ENI, Norge, Schweiz)

Tabel 5: Fordelingen af de kumulative økonomiske data efter interventionskategori

Som anført i tabel 2 i bilag II til Kommissionens gennemførelsesforordning (EU) nr. 1011/2014 (Model til indberetning af finansielle data) og tabel 6-9 i modellen for samarbejdsprogrammer

Prioritetsakse	Fond	Interventionso mråde	Finansieringsfo rm	Den territoriale dimension	Territorial gennemførelses mekanisme	Dimensionen tematisk mål	ESF's sekundære tema	Den økonomiske dimension	Lokaliseringsdi mensionen	De samlede støtteberettigede udgifter til operationer, som er udvalgt til støtte	Offentlige støtteberettigede udgifter til operationer, som er udvalgt til støtte	De samlede støtteberettigede udgifter, som modtagerne har anmeldt til forvaltningsmyndighed en	Antal udvalgte foranstaltninger
1	EFRU	060	01	04	07	01				5.560.108,00	2.780.054,00	0,00	1
1	EFRU	062	01	04	07	01				7.984.078,00	3.992.039,00	0,00	2
1	EFRU	063	01	04	07	01				3.412.514,00	1.706.257,00	0,00	1
1	EFRU	066	01	04	07	01				5.190.008,00	2.595.004,00	0,00	1
1	EFRU	067	01	04	07	01				5.545.902,00	2.772.951,00	0,00	2
1	EFRU	078	01	04	07	01				4.251.964,00	2.125.982,00	0,00	1
2	EFRU	012	01	04	07	05				0,00	0,00	0,00	0
2	EFRU	013	01	04	07	05				4.174.064,00	2.087.032,00	0,00	1
2	EFRU	023	01	04	07	05				5.204.050,00	2.602.025,00	0,00	1
2	EFRU	069	01	04	07	05				5.007.076,00	2.503.538,00	0,00	1
3	EFRU	085	01	04	07	06				25.203.660,00	12.601.830,00	0,00	4
3	EFRU	087	01	04	07	06				26.052.338,00	13.026.169,00	0,00	6
4	EFRU	036	01	04	07	07				4.395.880,00	2.197.940,00	0,00	1

Prioritetsakse	Fond	Interventionso mråde	Finansieringsfo rm	Den territoriale dimension	Territorial gennemførelses mekanisme	Dimensionen tematisk mål	ESF's sekundære tema	Den økonomiske dimension	Lokaliseringsdi mensionen	De samlede støtteberettigede udgifter til operationer, som er udvalgt til støtte	Offentlige støtteberettigede udgifter til operationer, som er udvalgt til støtte	De samlede støtteberettigede udgifter, som modtagerne har anmeldt til forvaltningsmyndighed en	Antal udvalgte foranstaltninger
4	EFRU	043	01	04	07	07				2.968.560,00	1.484.280,00	0,00	1
4	EFRU	044	01	04	07	07				4.313.574,00	2.156.787,00	0,00	1
5	EFRU	121	01	04	07					10.752.040,00	7.526.428,00	1.405.523,00	0
5	EFRU	122	01	04	07					716.803,00	501.762,00	0,00	0
5	EFRU	123	01	04	07					2.867.211,00	2.007.048,00	0,00	0

Tabel 6: Kumulative udgifter til en operation, der helt eller delvist gennemføres uden for Unionens del af programområdet

1. Operation (2)	2. Det EFRU- støttebeløbet (1), der påtænkes anvendt til en operation, der helt eller delvist gennemføres uden for Unionens del af programområdet, baseret på udvalgte operationer	operation, der helt eller delvist gennemføres uden for	4. De EFRU- støtteberettigede udgifter, der er afholdt til en operation, der helt eller delvist gennemføres uden for Unionens del af programområdet, som modtagen har anmeldt til forvaltningsmyndigheden	operation, der helt eller delvist gennemføres uden for Unionens del af
Cumulative cost of all or part of an operation implemented outside the Union part of the programme area	2.506.400,00	1,50%	0,00	

(1)EFRU-støtten er Kommissionens afgørelse om det pågældende samarbejdsprogram.

(2) I overensstemmelse med og inden for lofterne i artikel 20 i forordning (EU) nr. 1299/2013.

4. SAMMENFATNING AF EVALUERINGER

Work on the evaluation plan started in 2015 with initial discussions with the Monitoring Committee once the Programme was adopted. The Evaluation Steering Group received the mandate from the Monitoring Committee to initiate work on the draft evaluation plan. The final approval of the evaluation plan was issued in 2016. Synthesis of evaluations will be covered in the next annual report (2017).

5 . FORHOLD, DER HAR INDFLYDELSE PÅ PROGRAMRESULTATERNE, OG KORRIGERENDE FORANSTALTNINGER, DER ER TRUFFET

(a) Forhold, der har indflydelse på programresultaterne, og foranstaltninger, der er truffet

Some of the issues reported in 2015 have been resolved and some are still affecting the Programme:

The size and most appropriate use of the Technical Assistance budget. As reported already earlier, the number of staff at the Joint Secretariat (JS) was cut in 2015, and a range of proposed actions such as annual programme conferences and programme evaluations were scrapped or severely scaled back. In addition, the smaller number of staff has put a strain on those remaining who have to carry the same workload as before.

As the 2016 North Sea Conference was already in its planning phase, it was carried out as planned. The exercise however showed that there are limits to what can be asked from a small team. It was therefore concluded to try to find an external host for the conference, so that the programme will only be a participant instead of a key organiser, a role assumed by the Programme since the 1990s. The impact this is going to have on the public perception of the Programme and its projects remains to be seen.

The work on the evaluation is ongoing. The Monitoring Committee (MC) approved an evaluation plan in 2016. However, the expectations are very high and the tendering process which is planned for 2017 needs to show what bidders will be able to provide when taking the budget constraints into account. Additional concerns about the evaluation budget are excluded possibilities towards the future and the constraints not allowing for additional actions, such as possibly necessary legwork concerning the implications of political developments.

As reported earlier, the discussions of the Steering Committee (SC) about definitions of project results and the limits for defining and monitoring them delayed the contract and start-up process for the Call 1 projects significantly. The projects had expressed concerns about the value and feasibility of certain expectations concerning result indicators; one the other hand, Member States are wary of projects that are unwilling to commit to making real progress the programme. In order to reconciliate these opposing concerns, we developed training for applicants that focused on defining project results. The training was launched prior to the second call, starting at the Interwork Event in December 2015.

The initiative was followed up during the second call in the first quarter of 2016. Some Members States still had similar concerns during SC meeting 2 which led again to delays in the start-up process of approved projects. This time it was, however, agreed to limit the administrative burden by including the Committee into the process only for unresolved issues. At the time of writing this report, a single approved call 2 project is still not ready to sign its contract, even six months after its approval. The Programme keeps a focus on how to deliver quality results in its events, e.g. at the Call 3 Interwork event in November 2016.

As reported earlier, the two-step application procedure has been a subject of discussions since its introduction and will be a key subject of the first programme evaluations. The primary question seems to be whether the delays involved in completing an additional application step are offset by quality gains. In order to limit the additional workload associated with a second step in the assessment procedure, an updated plan to stagger calls for expressions of interest (EoIs) and Full Applications was decided at the MC meeting in May. Thus, Call 3, which closes in February 2017, will be only for FAs and Call 4 (30 June-28 August) will be only for EoIs. This means that a potential project submitting an EoI in July 2017 will not have their project proposal approved until the following spring when it is approved as part of Call 5. The MC decided in autumn 2016 to raise the staff level in the JS by one person in view of the capacity constraints in assessing and monitoring projects, if budget allows.

As reported earlier, tough public spending regimes in some North Sea countries have intensified debate and revealed differences between the countries regarding the appropriate and realistic limits for Interreg projects. It has, at times, been difficult to find a common approach or to secure on-going commitment to previous decisions. Attempts were already made to re-establish consensus at the end of 2014 after a rather difficult programming process. As discussions continued and often strained the consensus-based approach of the Programme, an extraordinary SC meeting was held in June 2016. The meeting emphasised the need for using one joint set of criteria in relation to assessment, selection and guidance, joint decision making and handling of approved applications. The meeting also underlined that other partner countries will not be held liable in the event that individual countries use different criteria for assessments.

As reported earlier, a number of issues with a potentially significant impact on programme implementation cannot be resolved within a programme context and have required higher level agreements between the Commission and the Member States. Examples include audit sampling and use of the ARACHNE system. The programme has joined attempts to push for a resolution of these discussions and significant progress has been made.

(b) VALGFRIT FOR LETTE RAPPORTER, ellers inkluderet i pkt. 9.1. En vurdering af, om fremskridtene hen imod målene er tilstrækkelige til at sikre, at de opfyldes, med angivelse af de afhjælpende foranstaltninger, der er truffet eller planlagt, hvis det er relevant.

Not applicable.

6. SAMMENDRAG TIL BORGERNE (ARTIKEL 50, STK. 9, I FORORDNING (EU) NR. 1303/2013)

Et sammendrag til borgerne af indholdet af de årlige og endelige gennemførelsesrapporter gøres offentligt tilgængeligt og uploades som en særskilt fil i form af et bilag til de årlige og endelige gennemførelsesrapporter.

Sammendraget til borgerne kan oploades/findes under Generelt > Dokumenter

7. RAPPORT OM GENNEMFØRELSEN AF FINANSIELLE INSTRUMENTER (artikel 46 i forordning (EU) nr. 1303/2013)

8. FREMSKRIDT I FORBEREDELSEN OG GENNEMFØRELSEN AF STORE PROJEKTER OG FÆLLES HANDLINGSPLANER (ARTIKEL 101, LITRA H), OG ARTIKEL 111, STK. 3, I FORORDNING (EU) NR. 1303/2013, OG ARTIKEL 14, STK. 3, LITRA B), I FORORDNING (EU) NR. 1299/2013)

8.1. Store projekter

Tabel 7: Store projekter

	Status for det store projekt	Samlede investeringer	Planlagt dato for underretning/i ndgivelse	Planlagt påbegyndelse af gennemførelse	Planlagt dato for afslutning	Prioritetsakse/investerin gsprioriteter	gennemførelse sstatus — finansielle fremskridt (i % af udgifter attesteret over for	
							for Kommissionen i forhold til samlede støtteberettige de udgifter)	

(1) I tilfælde af operationer, der gennemføres under OPP-strukturer, underskrivelsen af OPP-aftalen mellem den offentlige myndighed og det privatretlige organ (artikel 102, stk. 3, i forordning (EU) nr. 1303/2013).

Significant problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures taken to overcome them

Not applicable.

Any change planned in the list of major projects in the cooperation programme

Not applicable.

DA

8.2. Fælles handlingsplaner

Forløbet af gennemførelsen af de forskellige stadier i fælles handlingsplaner

Not applicable.

Tabel 8 : Fælles handlingsplaner (JAP)

		gennemførelsen		0	OP-bidrag til JAP	Prioritetsak se			[Planlagt] påbegyndelse af gennemførelsen	afslutning	Vigtigste resultater	output	og Samlede støtteberettige de udgifter attesteret over for Kommissionen	
--	--	----------------	--	---	-------------------	--------------------	--	--	---	------------	-------------------------	--------	--	--

Væsentlige problemer, der har gjort sig gældende, og hvordan de er blevet løst.

Not applicable.

9. VURDERING AF GENNEMFØRELSEN AF SAMARBEJDSPROGRAMMET (ARTIKEL 50, STK. 4, I FORORDNING (EU) NR. 1303/2013 OG ARTIKEL 14, STK. 4, I FORORDNING (EU) NR. 1299/2013)

9.1 Oplysninger i del A og opfyldelse af programmets mål (artikel 50, stk. 4, i forordning (EU) nr. 1303/2013)

Prioritetsakse	1 - Thinking Growth: Supporting growth in North Sea Region economies
----------------	---

In 2016, the second call for applications inviting expressions of interest and full applications was completed. The programme's Steering Committee approved 44 Expressions of Interest and 24 Full Applications for call 1 and 2. The programme received 96 Expressions of Interest and 53 Full Applications in total. This means that the respective approval rates are 46% / 45%. The 19 Expressions of Interests that were approved by Steering Committee 2 can at the earliest submit a Full Application in call 3 which will be completed in 2017.

For call 3 the timing for the call management has been changed to ease capacity constraints on the assessment work on the one hand side and to allow a faster processing time for applicants to get through the 2 step application process. This means that the calls will be separated in calls for Expressions of Interest and for Full Applications instead of having them in one call. This however also means that there will be almost 1.5 years' waiting time for Expressions of Interest before they can be submitted again in call 4 as call 3 will be for Full Applications only. After this there will be an opportunity to submit every 12 months. It remains to be seen how this is going to impact the uptake of funding in the long run. This affects all 4 programme priorities.

Priority 1

34% of available ERDF funding for priority 1 and 39% of Norwegian funding have been allocated to projects so far. The approval rate for Expressions of Interests is 42% and 44% for Full Applications in call 1 and 2. With a co-funding rate of 50% the respective total budget for the priority 1 projects is Euro 34.1 million.

The coverage of the specific objectives in priority 1 is 2 projects for specific objectives 1.1 and 1.3 each and 4 for 1.3. In terms of allocated funding the coverage is quite equal when taking the average for each approved project into account. As the funding is available on priority level it remains to be seen if this pattern will continue or if political and other priorities might lead to a different allocation pattern between the specific objectives.

So far no project reports have been submitted to the programme and therefore the projects have only provided targets for their indicators but no achievement data. First reports are expected for 2017 and the programme will from then on be able to assess also the progress made during implementation. There have however been slight delays for kicking-off the projects due to delays in contracting. As pointed out in section A.5

the discussion about sufficient results led to a number of pre-conditions by the Steering Committee for call 1 projects and in some cases it took almost half a year to find a compromise between the programme and the project stakeholders. This situation was discussed between programme bodies and the partner countries and it was agreed not to work with pre-conditions again. The requirements formulated as part of the Steering Committee decisions for most of the call 2 projects went along with delayed contracting again. These were however comparable shorter than for the call 1 projects. These delays have affected all 4 programme priorities.

Prioritetsakse	2	-	Eco-innovation:	Stimulating	the
	gre	en	economy		

Priority 2

The situation for priority 2 is similar to priority 1. However, the number of Expressions of Interest and Full Applications received and also approved within call 1 and 2 and is lower. The available funding for this priority is only slightly lower which means that the allocation rate is 16% for available ERDF and 9% for Norwegian equivalent funding and comparable lower than for priority 1. The respective approval rate is 30% for Full Applications and 47% for Expressions of Interest. The rate for Full Applications is significantly lower than for priority 1. The total budget for all priority 2 projects approved so far is Euro 14.8 million. As for all other priorities approved call 2 Expressions of Interest can submit Full Applications in 2017 at the earliest.

The priority 2 projects that have been approved so far are split in 2 projects for specific objective 2.1 and 1 for 2.2. The coverage among these projects in terms of funding is quite equal when taking the average per project into account. With the comparable lower number of applications received and projects approved the programme should take the initiative to promote this priority in particular and to make green economy stakeholders particularly aware of the funding possibilities in this programme. As highlighted in the Cooperation Programme green innovation is an important cornerstone for the North Sea region's competitiveness and a major asset for its future.

No implementation reports have been submitted for priority 2 projects so far and the first round of reports is expected in 2017.

Prioritetsakse	3 - Sustainable North Sea Region: Protecting against climate change and preserving the environment
----------------	--

Priority 3

Priority 3 has so far the highest number of approved projects and the highest allocation of funding. The allocation rate after call 1 and 2 is 69% for ERDF and 22% for Norwegian equivalent. The approval rate is 55 % for Expressions of Interest and 63 % for Full Applications. This is comparable higher than for priorities 1 and 2. With the current rate of approvals and allocation of funding this priority might be the first one to run out of available funding after the next two calls for full applications. The total budget for all priority 3 projects is Euro 52.2 million.

The coverage among the specific objectives within priority 3 is equal in terms of projects. The environmental situation and the foreseeable and already visible impacts of climate change for the region especially in terms of flooding risks and extreme weather situations makes this priority highly relevant as there is an evident need for transnational cooperation and joint action among the North Sea regions.

As for the other priorities first implementation reports are expected for 2017.

Prioritetsakse	4 - Promoting green transport and mobility	
	modifity	

Priority 4

Priority 4 has so far the lowest number of projects approved and applications received. The approval rate is low and similar to priority 2 with 41% for Expressions of Interest and 33% for Full Applications. The available funding for this priority is the lowest amongst all four programme priorities. With the low number of approved projects the allocation rate of 21% for ERDF is quite low. There has however been quite an uptake of 63% of Norwegian equivalent which is quite high and also imbalanced in comparison to ERDF. The total budget of all approved priority 4 projects is Euro 13.8 million so far.

Projects have only been approved for the priority's specific objective 4.2 about green mobility and transport so far. Only few Expressions of Interest and Full Application have been received for the specific objective 4.1 about moving freight away from road to other transport modes. This is however quite a surprise as this objective is also reflected in the policy priorities on European level as a very important priority to cope with infrastructure capacity constraints and air and climate harming pollutants. The programme should take initiative to promote the entire priority even further and consult stakeholders about the challenges they face hindering them to apply for projects.

Also in this priority first implementation reports are expected for 2017.

Prioritetsakse	5 - Technical Assistance
Prioritetsakse	5 - Technical Assistance

Please see earlier text on Technical Assistance from section 3.1. Overview 5. Technical Assistance.

9.2. Specifikke foranstaltninger, der er truffet for at fremme ligestilling mellem mænd og kvinder og ikke-forskelsbehandling, særlig adgang for handicappede personer, og de ordninger, der er indført for at sikre integrering af kønsaspektet i samarbejdsprogrammet og i operationerne (artikel 50, stk. 4, i forordning (EU) nr. 1303/2013 og artikel 14, stk. 4, andet afsnit, litra d), i forordning (EU) nr. 1299/2013)

En vurdering af gennemførelsen af specifikke foranstaltninger under hensyntagen til principperne i artikel 7 i forordning (EU) nr. 1303/2013 om fremme af ligestilling mellem mænd og kvinder og ikke-forskelsbehandling og i relevant omfang afhængigt af samarbejdsprogrammets indhold og mål et overblik over de specifikke foranstaltninger, der er truffet for at fremme ligestilling mellem mænd og kvinder og ikkeforskelsbehandling, særlig adgang for handicappede personer, og de ordninger, der er indført for at sikre integrering af kønsaspektet i samarbejdsprogrammet og i operationerne.

The programme is committed to the promotion of equality between men and women. Main issues identified in the Cooperation Programme address the still existing pay gap and projects are expected to address it. The projects approved are mainly neutral towards gender equality and address the issues in terms of e.g. recruitment of project staff. The programme continues to ask applicants to address the issues as part of their application for funding and the input provided is part of the assessment which presents a recommendation for the programme's Steering Committee to approve or reject the proposal.

9.3. Bæredygtig udvikling (artikel 50, stk. 4, i forordning (EU) nr. 1303/2013 og artikel 14, stk. 4, afsnit 2, litra e), i forordning (EU) nr. 1299/2013)

En vurdering af gennemførelsen af foranstaltninger under hensyntagen til principperne i artikel 8 i forordning (EU) nr. 1303/2013 om bæredygtig udvikling og i relevant omfang afhængigt af det operationelle programs indhold og mål et overblik over de foranstaltninger, der er truffet for at fremme bæredygtig udvikling i overensstemmelse med denne artikel.

The programme's regions are situated in some of the most developed countries in terms of sustainable development. The programme however builds in part on the challenges to sustainability that still remain to be resolved. Many of the approved applications highlight their contribution to sustainable development within the North Sea region and link them directly to their outcomes as e.g. decision support tools to improve climate resilience.

9.4. Rapportering om den støtte, der er anvendt til målene vedrørende klimaforandringer (artikel 50, stk. 4, i forordning (EU) nr. 1303/2013)

Calculated amount of support to be used for climate change objectives based on the cumulative financial data by category of intervention in Table 7

Prioritetsakse	Amount of support to be used for climate change objectives (EUR)	Proportion of total allocation to the operational programme (%)
2	5.690.472,20	12,60%
3	18.066.901,00	49,10%
4	2.335.602,80	8,21%
I alt	26.092.976,00	15,60%

The approved projects are just about to initiate their first progress reports and provide first financial claims in 2017.

9.5 Partnernes rolle i gennemførelsen af samarbejdsprogrammet (artikel 50, stk. 4, i forordning (EU) nr. 1303/2013 og artikel 14, stk. 4, første afsnit, litra c), i forordning (EU) nr. 1299/2013)

En vurdering af gennemførelsen af foranstaltninger under hensyntagen til partnernes rolle, herunder inddragelsen af partnerne i gennemførelsen, overvågningen og evalueringen af samarbejdsprogrammet, jf. artikel 5 i forordning (EU) nr. 1303/2013

The partnership established during programming was also involved in the first steps of programme implementation. Their involvement was secured through good practice measures as e.g. making use of subcommittees in some of the partners countries when preparing Monitoring or Steering Committee meetings. The call 1 and 2 announcements were promoted towards the partners and beyond and access to relevant documents and information was secured through electronic documents accessible through the programme's website. No evaluations have taken place so far.
10. ANGIVELSE OG VURDERING AF OBLIGATORISKE OPLYSNINGER (ARTIKEL 14, STK. 4, FØRSTE AFSNIT, LITRA A) OG B), I FORORDNING (EU) NR. 1299/2013)

10.1 Fremskridt i gennemførelsen af evalueringsplanen og opfølgningen af evalueringsresultaterne

The evaluation plan was approved in 2016. The Terms of Reference (ToR) will be finalised in 2017. The follow-up given the findings of evaluations will be done respectively.

10.2 Resultaterne af fondenes informations- og PR-foranstaltninger under kommunikationsstrategien

Website and project webspaces

The programme website was launched in April of 2015. The website is a one-stop information hub for all Programme target groups. Content and functionality was added throughout 2015 to include all information related to all stages of the project life cycle and easy access to results and outputs from previous programmes.

Furthermore, project webspace functionality was developed in the course of 2015. Project webspaces are project websites directly integrated with the programme content management system and online monitoring system. All approved projects receive a project webspace and are expected to use it actively to communicate their project activities and results.

In general terms, the connectivity between the programme website, project webspaces and online monitoring system is working as intended, making it simpler for projects to communicate and make updated data available to their target groups.

Social media

The Programme has accounts on LinkedIn, Facebook, YouTube, Twitter and flickr. Besides functioning as communication channels, they foster and support communities of practice by facilitating knowledge sharing and creation of online networks.

The social media accounts are not new to the 2014 - 2020 programme, but became an increased focus area in 2015. Facebook, LinkedIn and Twitter are used to disseminate news stories to a wider audience already present on social media, but not regularly visiting the Programme website. LinkedIn is the key channel with a loyal follower base and a lot of potential.

e-guidance

A key learning point from the previous programming period is that there is a need to provide stakeholders with up-to-date information while reducing the time used on answering repeated requests for the same information.

In 2015, an internal working group convened to discuss and plan the development of eguidance materials. E-guidance is content for stakeholders in small, very specific bursts, encouraging users to go back to important content when they need it.

Lessons learned from the first call for applications were collected and a plan for development of e-guidance material for the second call in 2016 was made.

Events

The Programme hosts events such as project development and partner search seminars (so-called Interwork events), First Level Control seminars, European Cooperation Day

and North Sea Conferences. To actively support communities of practice, attention is given to user-driven workshops, "speed dating" sessions and the like when relevant and appropriate.

In 2015, the Programme hosted an Interwork event in Hamburg, Germany 25 - 26 March and in Amsterdam 9 - 10 December, as well as the North Sea Conference in June in the Netherlands. The biggest event in terms of both participation, resources spent and impact was the North Sea Conference 2015, which will be briefly reviewed here.

The North Sea Conference 2015 was held in Assen, the Netherlands 16 - 18 June in cooperation with the North Sea Commission and the Dutch provinces of Drenthe, Fryslan, Groningen and the municipalities of Groningen and Assen. The conference focused on attracting new beneficiaries, preparing participants for submitting an application and providing networking opportunities.

Close to 500 guests participated, and the evaluation reveals that the event was successful.

In 2016, the Programme hosted another Interwork event in Gothenburg, Sweden 15-16 November. Equally the North Sea Conference 2016 was held in Billund, Denmark 15 – 17 June in cooperation with the North Sea Commission and the Region of South Denmark. The conference focused on building blocs for the future. Around 350 guests participated at this event and the evaluation again showed how important these meetings are.

Visual identity

As the Programme has opted to be part of INTERACTs joint branding of Interreg programmes, the programme logo was developed according to a harmonised design.

The joint branding initiative also influences communications on project level, as new projects will be provided with a standardised project logo based on the Programme logo. Consequently, a design template for developing project logos was also prepared in 2015.

Activities targeted people with disabilities

The planned e-guidance materials and videos provide both sound, images and written text, making them suitable for hearing and visually impaired. All documents are available electronically allowing for easy combination with the range of electronic aids available, including read-aloud features and zooming functions.

D	A
---	---

11. ANGIVELSE AF YDERLIGERE OPLYSNINGER AFHÆNGIGT AF SAMARBEJDSPROGRAMMETS INDHOLD OG MÅL (ARTIKEL 14, STK. 4, ANDET AFSNIT, LITRA A), B), C) OG F), I FORORDNING (EU) NR. 1299/2013)

11.1 Fremskridt i gennemførelsen af den integrerede tilgang til territorial udvikling, herunder bæredygtig udvikling af byområder, og samfundsstyret lokal udvikling inden for rammerne af samarbejdsprogrammet

The projects approved address the principles for integrated territorial development as outlined in the Cooperation Programme.

Developing an integrated approach to maritime spatial planning for the North Sea as a territory will contribute to capitalising the strengths of the territories connected through the sea so they can best contribute to sustainable, balanced development of the NSR. Such an integrated approach will at the same time help to overcome current challenges connected to traditional administrative boarders in terms of spatial and economic planning. In this regard the new planning approach is expected to make a major contribution to interconnect e.g. the electricity grids throughout the North Sea region to allow to make better use of renewable energy production capacities and new forms of energy transport to reduce the reliance on conventional energy production by supporting the planning to boost the necessary infrastructure investments at the same time.

• Aspects of social innovation will be addressed to makes NSR cities more resilient towards intense rainfall to overcome current implementations barriers and to improve the liveability of cities around the North Sea.

By creating a place based digital innovation culture public stakeholders will be enabled to develop new smart services to link local data with big data and to turn it into information that will provide new information about the North Sea area and that can be used within the entire territory of the North Sea region by its' citizens, policy makers, businesses and researchers.

By developing coordinated actions for making better and more use of shared transport solutions territories within the North Sea region will be better connected to provide reasonable access to efficient transport especially as a public service. By addressing cities these actions will also help to manage concentration processes by providing innovative and productive transport concepts that reduce air pollution through transport and aim to improve accessibility for all members of society.

11.2 Fremskridt i gennemførelsen af foranstaltninger til styrkelse af myndighedernes og støttemodtagernes kapacitet til at forvalte og anvende EFRU

A number of measures were agreed in the Cooperation Programme to reduce the administrative burden and to reinforce implementation.

Simplifying application procedures

The attempt to introduce a 2 step application process proved to be more difficult than expected. Detailed information is provided in section A.5.

Result oriented management

The implementation of a streamlined indicator system proofed to require a lot additional guidance and explanation to all stakeholders involved. This has led to delays in contracting call 1 and 2 projects as further outlined in section A.5 and B 9.1

Simplified rules

The introduction of simpler and harmonized eligibility rules seems to be successful so far. A reduced number of budget lines have been implemented and the introduction of a flat rate for overheads has been proofed to simplify especially application procedures so far. Outlining a framework of requirements for tendering and procurement on programme level has simplified the understanding about requirements on programme level and national rules to be considered for all stakeholders involved.

E-cohesion

Programme implementation and monitoring has become mainly paperless with the introduction of the VB Online Monitoring System (OMS). Application and reporting procedures have been streamlined to avoid the need for multiple entries of the same data which also avoids a major source for misunderstandings and mistakes. As the system is introduced while implementation of the programme continues timelines between programme requirements and providing required digital tools in the systems have sometimes been critical. As a risk factor already identified during programming in the Cooperation Programme timely implementation is however an important requirement and so far programme implementation and OMS implementation have been going along fine.

Version management

An introduction of version management procedures for the information provided to stakeholders has been positively received so far.

Training and information

A long list of measures has been established to train and inform stakeholders on all levels. These include interwork events to support applicants developing applications, training events about the use of the Online Monitoring System (OMS) for Committee members, beneficiaries and FLCs, train the trainers events for potential OMS trainers as secretariat staff, and FLC seminars for project stakeholders. Measures also include the introduction of e-guidance on different aspects of application procedures, programme requirements and project implementation. The guidance materials use different digital formats and are available on the programme's website.

11.3 Bidrag fra makroregionale strategier og havområdestrategier (hvis relevant)

As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013, recital 19, article 8(3)(d) on the "Content, adoption and amendment of cooperation programmes" and article 14(4) 2nd subparagraph (c) "Implementation reports", this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:

As outlined in the Cooperation programme it seems still to be unlikely that there will be an entire North Sea Strategy. Attempts on coordinating policy developments and stakeholders around the North Sea have been going on. So far the debate about a North Sea Strategy peaked in 2015. A number of conferences, the presentation of the Dutch North Sea 2050 Spatial Agenda, and the UK's House of Lord EU Committee's call for a ministerial conference of North Sea countries spoke for themselves. The North Sea Commission's North Sea region 2020 strategy was revised in 2016.

- □ EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region (EUSBSR)
- □ EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)
- □ EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)
- □ EU Strategy for the Alpine Region (EUSALP)
- □ Atlantic Sea Basin Strategy (ATLSBS)

11.4 Fremskridt med gennemførelsen af aktioner inden for området social innovation

Not applicable.

13. INTELLIGENT, BÆREDYGTIG OG INKLUSIV VÆKST

Oplysninger om og vurdering af programmets bidrag til virkeliggørelse af EU-strategien for intelligent, bæredygtig og inklusiv vækst

Not applicable for this Annual Report.

14. FAKTORER, DER PÅVIRKER PROGRAMMETS RESULTAT, SAMT DE FORANSTALTNINGER, DER ER TRUFFET — RESULTATRAMME (ARTIKEL 50, stk. 2, i forordning (EU) nr. 1303/2013)

Hvis vurderingen af fremskridt hen imod virkeliggørelsen af de delmål og målsætninger, der er fastsat i resultatrammen, viser, at visse delmål og målsætninger ikke er opfyldt, skal medlemsstaterne redegøre for baggrunden for den manglende opfyldelse af disse mål i rapporten til forelæggelse i 2019 (for delmål) og i den endelige gennemførelsesrapport (for mål).

Not applicable for this Annual Report.

Dokumenter

Dokumenttitel	Dokumenttype	Dokumentets dato	Lokalt referencenr.	Kommissionsrefe rence	Kontrolværdi	Filer	Sendt den	Sendt af
Citizens' Summary including Annex	Sammendrag til borgerne	26-06-2017	EUNSRP		3673688726	Citizens' Summary including Annex	27-06-2017	nhansejx

Kontrolsum for alle de strukturerede data: 4009724052